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Dear Readers,

The media sector has seen revolutionary changes over the last ten years, primarily in the areas of user behavior, the rise of the Internet, mobile telephone and tablet developments and a marked increase in user-produced content. Given our wealth of experience with major players in the industry, we felt our expertise could be of service to you. Like our previous publication, this new edition of the media barometer is based on the analysis of financial data from the 100 most significant media companies in North America and Europe as well as an in-depth analysis of the sector’s major risk factors and prospects for development.

2013 was marked by growth and business model changes for the media sector. North American media companies, sustained by the dynamic local economy, showed stronger growth than European media companies, which suffered as a result of a more fragile local economy.

Given the rising popularity of smartphones and tablets, and exponential growth in the number of applications that are used on a daily basis, mobility and the ability to be present across multiple devices were major challenges for media companies in every area of activity.

The Barometer provides an overview of the sector’s evolution. We hope that you will enjoy reading this new edition!

Bruno Balaire,
Global Head of the Mazars Group Media, Information and Entertainment Practice
INTRODUCTION

Each year, the Mazars Media Practice has analyzed the global risks affecting the industry in order to better understand how media companies handle the impact of those risks on their activities.

As in our previous Media Barometers, our findings are based on the risk factors noted in the annual reports of the 100 largest media companies listed on European and North American stock exchanges.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Top 20 Risk Factors</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>VAR. 3 Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breaches in Technology Security or Privacy (Cyber Risk)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting and Reporting Risk</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reputation Risk</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment (Goodwill, Inventories and Other Assets)</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social &amp; Environmental Risk</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicting Customer Demand and Developing New Products</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sector Economic Concerns</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependence on Third Parties</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulations Risk</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition and Consolidation in Media Sector Pricing</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to Properly Execute Corporate Strategy, Management of M&amp;A and Divestitures</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Policies</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Proceedings</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attracting or Retaining Key Personnel</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>(1)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclic Revenue and Stock Fluctuation</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>(1)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Economic Concern</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>(1)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual Property Infringement</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>(1)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threats to International Operations</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>(1)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions / Post Employment Benefits: New Financing Request</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>(2)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Risk</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>(2)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inability to Maintain Operational Infrastructure and Systems</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>(2)%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CYBER RISK

The 9% increase in the reporting of cyber risk over the three year period 2012-2014 highlights the importance of this threat and led us to create the 2015 Media Barometer – Cybersecurity Special Edition.
THE CHALLENGE OF CYBERCRIME

For several years, the media industry has been disrupted by new internet-based business models, media content digitalization, and the need to enable content to be used on different platforms. As part of this transition, data security has become a major challenge for media companies. Loss of data can present a very real threat to the continuity of their operations and cause long-term damage to a company’s reputation.

Information technology, and particularly cyber security, is no longer a negligible risk factor for companies. Causes for this heightened concern include:

- Heightened attacks on internet-connected IT infrastructure.
- Large-scale hacking (e.g., TV5, SONY),
- Massive thefts of personal data (e.g., T-MOBILE, ASHLEYMADISON.COM),
- Lack of consideration for security during project development.
- The increasing complexity of information technology environments, linked to the digitalization of internal processes and the implementation of new client-facing platforms.

On April 8, 2015, TV5 Monde was victim of a unprecedented attack in the history of television. The twelve channels of the French broadcaster were brutally shut down. “All programs went black,” says Yves Bigot, President of TV5 Monde. “The company had been the target of a cyberattack from an Islamist group named CyberCaliphate and our systems were severely damaged.” In an interview accorded to a French magazine, he revealed the attack will cost €5 million in 2015 and from €2.5 million to €3 million will be spent annually to prevent further attacks.

Media Companies are Particularly Vulnerable

While every company can be subject to data hacking, companies in the media world have become prime targets, due to:

- Increased visibility allows terrorist organizations to more broadly disseminate their message.
- Ease of access – most media content is at least partially digital, whether it is music, audio visual, online publications or games.
- High consumer demand for content allows hackers to draw large numbers of people to streaming or illegal download sites that feature stolen content. This provides the hackers a significant income stream from advertising on these sites.
- General powerlessness on the part of public authorities, who are most often unable to find and punish the hackers.
- Value as a vector of attacks to propagate “malware” against users of online content.

1 http://www.lefigaro.fr/secteur/high-tech/2015/04/14/32001-20150414ARTFIG00121-l-attaque-de-tv5-monde-a-debute-par-du-phishing.php
2 http://thehackernews.com/2014/01/hackers-behind-target-data-breach-are_10.html
4 http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/20/opinion/the-ashley-madison-hack-shows-were-too-dumb-to-cheat.html
6 Malware: Malicious software is a program developed in order to harm a computer system, without the consent of the user whose computer is affected (source Wikipedia)
These attacks are not only perpetrated by individuals, but also by groups of activists, terrorist groups, and nations conducting espionage, propaganda or retaliation.

A study carried out by the Ponemon Institute\(^7\) highlights the significant increase in the average cost of cybercrime to companies within the media sector. Indeed, between 2014 and 2015, it rose from $2.14M to $3.15M; the average cost per record (all industries combined) was $154 in 2015, versus $145 the previous year.

“This is the new normal,” says S. Gregory Boyd, Partner and Chairman of the Interactive Entertainment Group at Frankfurt Kurnit Klein & Selz. “Especially for media companies. We’re seeing regular breaches across the industry both generally and in response to stories, movies, or other products that an individual or country doesn’t like. All organizations need to be ready for cybersecurity breaches and do what they can to protect themselves.”

According to the Global Risks 2015 report, published in January by the World Economic Forum, “90 percent of companies worldwide recognize they are insufficiently prepared to protect themselves against cyber-attacks.”

To combat this trend, media companies have become increasingly attentive to the security of their information systems, data and digital content. Numerous companies address the risk of cyber-attacks through informative campaigns targeted at their teams or by putting in place security governance. However, attacks are multiplying and the increasing consequences prove that a comprehensive, company-wide structure is needed.

---

\(^7\) Ponemon: 2015 cost of Cyber Crime study: Global
IMPLEMENTATION OF APPROPRIATE PROTECTION OF MEDIA ACTIVITY

A clear understanding of all risks threatening companies

The first stage in implementing a global information technology security strategy is to assess all risks threatening a company, as well as their likelihood of occurrence, and the financial or reputational impact that the risk would have on the company.

For a media company, in addition to protecting internally-developed content, it will be necessary to specifically ensure protection of:

- **Clients’ personal information** - Banking data obviously, but also so as to maintain compliance with the company’s confidentiality charter and respect for users’ private information. The hacking of the Ashley Madison dating website illustrates the need for a global strategy to protect all consumer data, as hacking is not always for purposes of profit.

- **Employee email accounts** - The disclosure of management emails, for example, very seriously harmed Sony’s global reputation. Similarly, the email accounts of certain *New York Times* journalists investigating the prime minister of China were accessed by Chinese hackers.

- **Confidential activity data** - Particularly projects in development and the identity of partners, in a sector that is constantly evolving, and where competition is high.

- **Broadcast resources** - A terrorist group’s takeover of a French television channel illustrates the need to protect all broadcast resources. Further, access to social networks used by the company must also be subject to additional security.

To more efficiently and effectively address the numerous information technology risks to which media companies are exposed, defense strategies must include a map of information technology risks and allocate the necessary resources to address each major risk.

**KEY PROCESSES TO SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE RISKS**

Reducing exposure to cybercrime requires both technical and operational investments.

**Accounting for cyber risks by organization management**

The management of organizations, including the company’s Board of Directors and its Audit Committee, must be made aware of cybersecurity risks and understand their respective roles and responsibilities. This includes an assessment of the legal implications linked to an attack and how such an attack might affect the reputation of the organization; the implementation of regular and effective communication between management entities; and allocation of appropriate human and financial resources and the implementation of performance indicators for the cybersecurity program. Finally, it is the responsibility of management, to ensure there is a change of culture in order to take into account the impact of these new risks on the organization.

“Many people, even top-level management, don’t include cybersecurity in their ERM,” notes Nicolas Quairel, Partner and head of IT Consulting at WeiserMazars LLP. “They don’t include it because they don’t see where it fits in the traditional structure. But the truth is that cybersecurity risks are very serious and need to be included in any comprehensive ERM program. There is a direct connection between technology risk and business risk.”
Personnel training and integration of security into all company projects

29% of hacks that occurred in 2014 were caused by errors committed by employees. It is therefore necessary for all company employees to be aware of the risks of data hacking and the consequences for the company. The key words here are awareness, training and information.

Regular training sessions, as well as verification of the application of an appropriate security rule, must be implemented, such as “clean desk” policies to ensure that printed information containing personal data is not available for theft.

IT management must have in-depth knowledge of best practices, especially those established by the ISO 27001 standard on information security management systems, NIST (National Institutes of Standards and Technology), ISACA (Information Systems Audit and Control Association) and the SANS Institute.

Depending on the size of the company, the establishment of a Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) may be a valuable step in ensuring that content, technologies and all company assets are properly protected. It is possible to outsource this function, provided, of course, that the risks related to this outsourcing are properly understood.

The CISO must be independent of the information technology function and must report directly to top management.

Information security must be taken into account as part of most projects developed by the company in order to offer global protection against cybercrime.
Regular testing of existing capabilities and procedures

The capacity to protect a company depends on the company’s own activity and the main risk identified in advance. The quality of this protection and its durability over time will be linked to the frequency of hacking tests carried out to detect vulnerabilities. To complement the hacking tests, the organization must also perform social engineering tests such as email phishing, phone pretexting, etc., to ensure users are able to detect a maneuver/process aimed at extracting information from them that might facilitate an attack.

Reaction to incidents

Depending on the type of data the company holds and its reputation, it may be subject to hundreds of attacks per day. If a breach appears, implementation of a process to react to incidents, properly applied, may be the difference between a merely bad incident and complete disaster.

It is important that companies in media, which are particularly exposed to hacking risks, have a team responsible for reacting to incidents by putting in place a policy and appropriate response procedures: activation of the plan, reporting, and containment.

For companies with activities in the United States, these procedures must satisfy the Breach Notification Laws implemented in most of the United States.

In Europe, the obligation to notify the authorities varies by country and depends on whether the incident involves personal data or certain business sectors (see page 10).

Protecting against the risk of information breach by partners

Digital content involves a much greater risk of pirating than does content circulating on physical media.

The music industry was the first to suffer the impact of illegal data sharing on its business model, with album sales collapsing over a few years. Other industries, particularly audiovisual, press and publishing, have proven to be more resilient, but have still suffered the consequences of pirating, ever more so as consumer habits have evolved, with the consumption rate of films and television series increasing considerably.
In a virtual environment where consumption rates are accelerating and the marketing window shrinking, media groups are increasingly applying security audits to their partners or broadcasters to ensure that files containing episodes of successful series are transferred with the greatest possible security.

These audits may lead broadcasters, translation companies, etc. to review a portion of their information security processes. In fact, illegally broadcasting the pirated content of an episode of a popular series has an immediate impact on the number of television viewers, most of whom can access the content directly only a few hours or days before the official broadcast on traditional media.

Performing audits is not the same with partners who provide CRM (Customer Relationship Management)-type services, cloud services, and app developers. A security breach by these partners may be catastrophic from a financial or reputational standpoint. In fact, data-owning companies will always be responsible for its protection and will often make major headlines in the media in the case of a breach. It is therefore imperative that media companies using cloud services perform regular audits, rely on SSAE16-8 or ISAE3402-9 type reports, and perform consistent monitoring. Providers of more traditional services, such as telephone companies, air conditioning (attack against Target\textsuperscript{10}), etc., must also be subject to monitoring.

The effort applied on the risk assessment is determined by the level of risk carried by each information asset.

8 SSAE16: Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements – AICPA \( \text{www.aicpa.org/Research/Standards/AuditAttest/Pages/SSAE.aspx} \)
9 ISAE3402: ASSURANCE REPORTS ON CONTROLS AT A SERVICE ORGANIZATION from IFAC
Securing access to new media

Collaborative space and new media (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) tools offer a company high ratings and visibility. These tools and the related information they host, must be protected.

Other media organizations, such as online games, are subject in certain countries to strong regulations on security issues, for example in Denmark\(^\text{11}\) or France\(^\text{12}\). These laws encourage operators to take into account security risks from the start of projects. The integration of security in applications or online games (security by design) requires strong awareness and professionalism on the part of organizations, particularly in terms of Information Systems Management.

We note that in addition to the risk of cyber-attack, the proliferation of concealment methods or changes of IP address to avoid restrictions on access to content based on geolocalization, has become an additional challenge. Unfortunately, for the time being, there is no effective means of addressing this problem.

The need for security in a new technology (smart phones, connected objects, etc.) should also be a major point of consideration from the very start of the project.

Unequal awareness among European and North American media companies

Media organizations based in both Europe and the United States are concerned by hacking and pirating risks. However, awareness of the risks is highly disparate between the two continents, with an increase in those companies highlighting this risk category of only 5% in Europe, versus 12% in the United States.

This difference may be explained in part by a greater volume of hacking in the United States, linked to US regulations that require, in most states, official reporting of all breaches and data losses. Beyond the information risk itself, these laws affect a company’s reputation, among both its clients and third parties.

Security breach notification laws in the United States

Since 2002 in the United States, “SECURITY BREACH NOTIFICATION LAWS”\(^\text{13}\) have become current in most states (47) and require companies to inform consumers/users of the theft of sensitive information.

Application of this regulation remains particularly complex since, depending on the state, there may be differences between the businesses concerned (companies that may or may not process personal information, government agencies, etc.) and data types. The various states do not necessarily share the same definition of “personal data.” Certain other differences may also exist if there has been hacking or merely the threat of such, during what timeframe, how to report the intrusion, the application of penalties in the event of failure to report, etc.

\(^{11}\) Danish gaming authority “Spillemyndigheden”
\(^{12}\) Online games regulation authority, “Arjel”
S. Gregory Boyd further notes, “Though the state laws have variety and nuance, a good rule of thumb is that a breach will require notice when unencrypted sensitive information is compromised. Common state statute examples of sensitive information include a person’s name, combined with social security number, driver’s license number, or financial information. Beyond the state regulatory regime, companies operating in the US must also be aware of federal standards applicable to certain types of information such as children’s information and healthcare with the COPPA and HIPAA statutes respectively.”

In his annual State of the Union address in January 2015, President Barack Obama proposed implementing new laws to create federal regulations that would set the period for reporting an intrusion at 30 days after the date of its discovery. He also called for increased collaboration between the public and private sectors concerning cyber threats. Although cybersecurity is a rare non-controversial topic among Democrats and Republicans, no new bipartisan laws have been passed since 2002 (Cyber Security Enhancement Act of 2002).

According to a law enforcement source, just under 20% of cyber incidents are reported to the authorities (whether or not they fall within the scope of application of the notification law). According to that person, this may be explained by the fact that once the legal authorities’ investigation is complete, the incident becomes public, with the resulting impact on the organization’s reputation. Finally, in a large number of cases, incidents are detected by
a law enforcement group such as local or state police, FBI or territorial protection (Secret Services, DHS) and communicated to the companies in question, with the incident made known by the proper authorities.

**More regulation across Europe**

Current European data protection regulation is based on a directive issued in 1995. Since that time, due to the transformation in how data is communicated and exchanged electronically, a number of European states have adopted different approaches to locally implement this directive.

Regulation at the member state level concerning incident notification varies considerably. It is non-existent in certain countries, voluntary in others. Thus, in the United Kingdom, only telecommunications companies are required to report such incidents to the ICO (Information Commissioner’s Office).

In France, the CNIL (Commission Nationale Information et Liberté, the French National Information and Liberties Commission) relies on the 1995 European directives as well as that of 2003 on reporting cyber-attacks, promulgated by the law in 2012 and strengthened by a 2013 European regulation. However, the notification requirement only concerns communication operators and access providers.

To address these disparities and increase cyber security and data protection, Europe is currently preparing a new regulation (General Data Protection Regulation – “GDPR”). This common and harmonized regulation considers the parameters of today’s technology world to replace the directive from 1995 (Data Protection Directive – 95/46/EC). The text is expected to be finalized in December 2015, and, due to a delay in implementation, the regulation is expected to be applicable starting 2017/2018.

The principal contributions of this new regulation include:

- Organizations processing but also controlling personal data will be subject to notification.
- Notification of the proper authorities of an incident within 72 hours of its occurrence.
- The possible obligation to create a “data protection officer,” left up to the discretion of the member states.

Organizations will have to develop new internal processes to satisfy this new regulation. Implementation will be complex, and it is anticipated that all business sectors will be covered by this regulation. Additionally, incidents that deal with encrypted data will not be subject to notification.

In addition, the European commission is working on a directive linked to information and network security. The purpose of this directive will be to improve the cybersecurity of member States, increase public-private cooperation concerning cyber threats and, finally, develop new obligations with regard to risk notification and management of critical infrastructure. The ultimate purpose will be to create a single European digital market. As with the GDPR, this directive is expected to be adopted at end-2015.

The European Union also implemented a law applying to notification of data violations (E-Privacy Directive) in 2009, specific to personal data through telecom operators and internet service providers.
WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE?

Cybersecurity risks pose a continuing threat to media companies. Now is the time to maximize efforts to protect activities by applying the guidelines in this Media Barometer Special Edition with your company’s ongoing operations.

Mazars has a team of highly experienced professionals worldwide to assist you in identifying cybersecurity issues specific to all segments of the media industry and to help in protecting your businesses. We encourage you to contact our industry leaders to achieve long-lasting solutions.
"WE’RE SURE THAT NEXT YEAR WILL BRING EVEN MORE CHANGE FOR THIS EVOLVING INDUSTRY. OUR TEAM WILL BE HERE TO AGAIN ILLUMINATE THE DEVELOPMENTS AND CHALLENGES OF THE SECTOR IN OUR NEXT MEDIA BAROMETER."

Bruno Balaire
Head of Mazars’ Global Media, Information & Entertainment Department
Mazars is present on 5 continents.
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